

Foundations for Shared Responsibility: Co-Developing the Ethical Trajectory for an Imbalanced World

**Caux Round Table for Moral Capitalism
2023 Global Dialogue**

**Mountain House
Caux, Switzerland
July 26 and 27**

Proceedings

By John Dalla Costa

PROLOGUE: LESSONS AND LEGACIES

- Twenty-eight individuals from 15 countries, representing a variety of business sectors, religions and spheres of expertise, gathered at Mountain House in Caux, Switzerland, on July 26 and 27 for the Caux Round Table's 2023 Global Dialogue.
 - Before engaging the issues at hand, participants heard from two of the founding members of the Caux Round Table, who first met in 1986 to diffuse the tensions caused by the global inroads of Japanese corporations. Economic anxieties and suspicions were then morphing into national hostilities, which included dangerous and damaging racial invectives. The economic difficulties and cultural differences were all too real and suspicions persisted, even among executives and policy leaders who gathered to defuse the antagonisms. Recognizing the stakes, North American, Japanese and European participants committed to a process of mutual learning, to grow together the capacities for peaceful collaboration.
 - The process for this business detente drew on the history of Mountain House. French and German citizens had gathered there in the still-harsh aftermath of World War II to practice the sensibilities for "moral rearmament." Peace between nations had been achieved at horrific cost. With this face-to-face meeting, participants sought to recover and form together a sense of conscience broader than national interest, from which the political policies of peace could be more securely grounded.
 - Inspired by this model of frank dialogue, business participants sought to engage their differences with respectful attentiveness for mutual learning. Sharing experiences and objectives from diverse perspectives worked to generate transformation on several levels. As knowledge about one another grew, so did appreciation for one another's humanity and fundamental interdependence. Suspicions abated as stereotypes dissolved. Not all differences were resolved, but bonds that emerged opened deeper channels of communication, facilitating not only more collaboration, but also human friendship.
 - With this new horizon formed together, the Caux Round Table Principles for Business were formally encoded in 1994. These principles were provocative and prophetic, challenging the biases of the time by sharing the wisdom lessons "rooted in two basic ethical ideals: *kyosei* and human dignity. The Japanese concept of *kyosei* means living and working together for the common good, enabling cooperation and mutual prosperity to coexist with healthy and fair competition. "Human dignity" refers to the sacredness or value of each person as an end, not simply as a means, to the fulfillment of others' purposes or even majority prescription."
 - Our 2023 Global Dialogue gathered to continue the bridge-building momentum of previous meetings. The goals were twofold: diagnosing not only the social stresses threatening peace and prosperity today, but also their causes and to apply the social teachings and moral wisdom of our various cultures, religions and ideals to fomenting hopeful change.
- Competition is healthy, unless it degrades into antipathy that disregards the humanity and dignity of others.
 - Self-interest is a dynamic driver for economic development, unless it devolves into selfish-interest, unleashing greed without care or regard for consequences.

BEHIND THE SIGNS OF THE TIMES

- The destabilization infecting this time is palpable to many people across the planet. Changing climate and the destruction of ecosystems are touching the lives of every human being. Social and political divisions have become more acute, with polarization fueling inter-religious and minority-directed violence. Global and democratic

institutions have been frayed, encouraging more aggression and suppression by tyrannical regimes. And the promise of globalization has become frayed and mistrusted. Widespread disillusionment flows, in part, from the growing inequality in outcomes and from the dehumanization that strategy, markets and competition impose on human beings, rendering them valuable and worth considering only as consumers or producers.

- After a thorough analysis of the data and factors that have coalesced into global society’s multiple crises, we studied the situation at hand through the lens of VUCA:
 - Identifying the *volatilities* that are the new norm in politics, culture, ecology and economy;
 - Noting the subsequent, as well as the unexpected *uncertainties* that are undermining social and business stability, locally and globally;
 - Acknowledging the *complexities* from the overlaps, confusions and conflicts as systems and civilizations interact and in some cases, degrade;
 - And recognizing the perplexing, often impenetrable *ambiguities* which foil conventional policies or solutions, rendering isolated expertise, no matter how accomplished, less effective and less credible.
 - As is true of the systemic problems of which these terms are a diagnosis, each factor interacts with and is compounded by the others. Underlying these interconnections are the still-unacknowledged *limits*—those social and ecological thresholds that cannot be transgressed without risking violence and collapse.
- The recognition of VUCA is now widespread throughout business and politics. By their questions and comments, dialogue participants raised several questions and insights:
 - The underlying imbalances and risks that have metastasized into VUCA are not new: human, social, ethical and ecological dysfunctions have been empirically documented for over three decades. Indeed, the Caux Round Table Principles for Business were created to explicitly address the disparities and disharmonies already evident in the early rush of this latest version of economic globalization. The Millennium Development Goals of the United Nations, formed in 2000 and later refined as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015, were similarly aimed to diffuse the very fissures and breakdowns that are today so daunting and fear-inducing. Neither of these, nor any other of the many programs for reform, have had sufficient impact to arrest the imbalances, let alone correct them. Knowing has not translated into doing. Seeing the problems has not incited commensurate change or solutions. What prevents governments, public institutions, corporations, communities and individuals from changing their minds and changing their behaviors?
 - Why has “knowing not translated into doing?”
 - What has prevented leaders and nations from turning “awareness and knowledge” into responsibility and action?
 - While VUCA is an important model for analysis, it was suggested that the concepts and terms for probing our pressing reality tended to operate with the same technical mindset that has created the current maelstrom. The point of view of VUCA remains top-down, identifying all-too-real risks, but without presenting the all-too-real human traumas and dislocations. One example that was raised is that, while the elite and technical class clearly struggle with the implications of post-Covid-inflation, including the impact on food prices, analyses and recommendations have been far removed from the actual experience of hunger and famine that has overtaken hundreds of millions of people. Shocked though we may be by the

numbers, the human connection from empathy and solidarity remains tenuous, at best.

- Just as VUCA remains aloof from the on-the-ground human reality, it has, as yet, not caused a re-evaluation of assumptions and priorities, particularly among leaders.
 - o One gap is between consciousness and conscience, between recognizing and acknowledging the symptoms and taking decisive ethical action against the causes.
 - o Another gap is between the minimal and the moral understanding of fiduciary duty, between singular allegiance to one group, versus the exercise of loyalty and care that extends to society's shared needs and norms.
 - o A third gap is in the wider exercise of public duties. Professions and institutional authorities used to stand outside the self-interest of politics and economics to protect the common good. Having been turned into profit centers, what were once relational duties of profession are now competitive services conformed to the accounting criteria of billable hours.
 - o Finally, a yawning gap exists between the moral teachings of our great religions and the actual practice by religious leaders and adherents. It is both ironic and tragic that some of the most important sources of humanity's wisdom have been abused, exacerbating divisions rather than living out the espoused principles of humanity, compassion and solidarity. It is true that religious identity is today often coopted to fan fears and xenophobia by national politicians. However, it is also often the case that believers invoke their religion to justify the besmirching or dehumanizing of others, stoking animosity and violence.
 - Once again, it is important to stress that these gaps or inconsistencies are not new. They are more problematic today for several reasons:
 - o One is that these chronic imbalances have created a now global sense of pessimism and cynicism. As well as not trusting, there is a growing sense of futility that manifests as not trying.
 - o Another is that the seeming intractability of this VUCA situation is from still using the mindset that led to our global paralysis to now try to resolve it. Numerous global initiatives have been launched, such as the U.N. Global Compact, Global Reporting Initiative, projects for social value or inclusive capitalism, as well as, more recently, various metrics projects for ESG (to guide and measure environmental, social and governance impacts). While worthy in many ways, these various projects have done little to mitigate VUCA and perhaps have even contributed to the volatility, uncertainty, complexity and ambiguity by proffering solutions from within the logical parameters of the economic theory propelling globalization's crises.
 - o Given that hard and fast environmental limits have yet to be acknowledged, we are still (contrary to common sense) trying to grow out of structural problems imposed by limits. And given that cultural diversity and other stakeholders are still secondary to stock owners, worker displacement, social disruptions and environmental damages are still regarded through utilitarian priorities, which (contrary to common sense) privilege short-term results, even over the future sustainability of humanity.
- Within countries, within religions and within the global reality, humanity has become more divided and indeed, more polarized by extremist positions at the very time that our social and ecological crises are beckoning the most radical collaboration.
 - Globalization has fomented a new world war from "self-interest without restraint." In the spirit of Mountain House, how do we now disarm the calculative capacities behind such destruction? How do we rearm leaders to assume the ethical responsibilities needed to stabilize volatilities, unpack uncertainties, engage complexity creatively and surface the human wisdom for our threatening ambiguities?

KEY QUESTIONS & PRELIMINARY PROPOSALS

1. What prevents paradigms from shifting?

- *Before exploring what needs to change, there is a prior need to understand what has prevented change. Which ideas, ideologies, principles and presumptions have proven to be obstacles to addressing imbalances that have been long understood? Have we escaped our biases or are we still defaulting to the very assumptions that, in aggregate, have brought us to the current fear-driven paralysis?*
 - Even as we face incontrovertible facts about the limits of planet earth, the prevailing mindset of national politicians and business leaders is to pursue growth in GDP and market valuation. As the limits of the ecological system are closed, the pressures for growth are amplifying the benefits for winners and the harms to losers. The data regarding inequality, wage stagnation and ecological destruction is unequivocal, yet the remedies have yet to escape the gravitational pull of mindsets and hierarchical structures forged in the Industrial Revolution.
 - In most MBA programs, managers have been taught tools for technical achievement, with little attention to human and moral development. This has produced all too many “mercenary managers,” who have graduated into more senior roles as “psychotic CEOs.” Obviously, these character distortions are not universal. However, sufficient numbers of amoral actors are in positions of power and leveraging their harshest strategies set hyper-competitive (and unethical) norms that even more grounded CEOs are forced to somehow match. When social or environmental demands become inescapable, these pressures are addressed either superficially (as with “greenwashing”) or tactically (as with unfulfilled SDG commitments).
 - The quandary is that even well-intentioned leaders and executives read the signs of the times through the prism of growth, competition and success. New data is being processed with an old mindset, an old paradigm.
 - One of the principles of Shinto has specific bearing on this imprisoning bias. Tokowaka beckons a cyclical undoing to begin anew, to regenerate resources and revitalize as if “youth is eternal.” In terms both symbolic and practical, shrines are deconstructed every twenty years and then rebuilt with new timber. This process of undoing to redo has many important implications. It allows each generation to not only inherit the spiritual significance of the shrine, but to actually participate in its recreation. Spiritual meaning is experienced, as well as instructed. It also allows for the learning and stresses of a particular time to infiltrate the construction, following the holy patterns of tradition, while keeping that tradition vital and current.
 - Undoing to redo is a principle also found in the Jewish tradition. Leviticus 25:8-38 calls for a Jubilee so that a fundamental social and economic reset can take place in every generation for one year. The specific charge is for debts to be cancelled, for those enslaved or indentured by poverty to be set free, for property (which is understood to belong to God) to be redistributed so all have access to the sustenance of the land.
 - For Christians, this Biblical reset resonates deeply because Jesus
 - Wisdom requires more than intellectual learning. It is a process of experience, using deep memory of the past and moral hope for the future, to find the middle way in and through the complexities of the present.
 - The Golden Rule in the Abrahamic traditions, the Doctrine of the Means in China, the Right Precepts for Buddhist harmony and the Shinto Tokowaka, are among the lessons from humanity's diversity that point to a shared wisdom for harmony and balance.

introduced his ministry as a realization of a fresh start stipulated by the Jubilee laws. As with the Beatitudes, Jesus recognized and addressed the inherent dignity of those whom the powers had abused and that society considered worthless or disposable.

- What these religious resources teach is that even the best human systems tend to degrade over time, an arc of atrophy that occurs when one generation forgets (or ignores) the hard-won lessons of the previous generation.
- One of the unfair outcomes of our current economic and business biases is that the penalties for imbalance fall on those least responsible for the deterioration.
 - The 21 million that the U.N. counted in 2022 as climate change refugees are among the world’s poorest people, bearing the costs for resource consumption they had nothing to do with.
 - A similar unfair downloading has impacted small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Many of governments’ regulations to mitigate environmental and social harms are in reaction to power abuses by large corporations. However, compliance falls heaviest and in most costly terms on those small and medium sized companies that are the lifeblood of most national economies.
- Thomas Aquinas was among the great thinkers that suggested that human beings must “unlearn” assumptions and biases before the lessons of true learning, experience and wisdom can be absorbed. As important as it is to access humanity’s best expertise, the “unlearning” is needed to unleash “tokowaka;” to make space for new insights; to make space for the next generation; to make space to heed the marginalized; to make space for the wisdom of SMEs to positively influence global policies and regulations.
- Another practical “unlearning-to-relearn” applies to economics. One example cited is the tendency to cherry-pick Adam Smith without reading his entire corpus: citing self-serving quotes from *The Wealth of Nations* without respecting the complementary ethical restraint Smith stipulated in *The Theory of Moral Sentiments*.
 - As Catholic social teaching has stressed since the 1970s, human development is authentic only when the totality of personal needs, capacities and aspirations are flourishing, including those of intellect, emotions, relationality, belonging in community and spirituality. This integral development invites integration, bringing together diverse parts to develop a unified and balanced whole.
 - The Qur’an teaches that God set the balance (*mizan*) of all things, that we might not transgress that mean (55:7,8). Accordingly, it is just and right for each of us to be moderate and avoid extremes, as only equilibrium keeps God's creation in harmony with God’s benevolent intent. As with other sacred scriptures, it is not humanity’s place to stand too close to the divine presence. However, for being entrusted by God as stewards, nor is it our place to turn our backs on God’s revealed guidance and stand apart from the source of life and balance.
 - Rebalancing is a double process, not only learning what and how to moderate, but also unlearning the biases and exclusions that thwart integral development. Skills of integration are desperately needed to shift humanity’s imagination for the task at hand. This includes:

- **INTEGRITY:** 1. the quality of being honest, of having strong moral principles; 2. the state of being whole, undivided.
- **INTEGRALITY:** 1. essential or necessary for completeness; 2. bring or come into equal participation; 3. the mean value of a total sum.

- > Integrating Smith’s moral and ethical teachings;
- > Integrating Confucius and Aristotle;
- > Integrating social teachings from Islam and Hinduism, as well as from Roman, Orthodox and Protestant Christians;
- > Integrating lessons from classical and post-colonial theorists, as well as other secular projects for human and ecological rights;
- > Integrating experiences across social and cultural gaps, such as with “truth and reconciliation” programs or, as an example shared with participants, bringing senior executives to meet incarcerated individuals who are in the process of reforming to realize their human potential;
- > Integrating (rather than “cancelling”) lessons from humanity’s greatest historic achievements and tragedies;
- > Integrating (rather than “appropriating”) lessons from other cultures;
- > Integrating lessons from entrepreneurs in poverty, especially the “green” and “circular” economics adopted and adapted by women.

2. What if the troubles currently shared globally are the doorway to a more human and hopeful future?

- *Given that concepts such as VUCA and “polycrisis” recognize that our economic, social and ecological systems are misaligned, how do we develop the imagination and skills for the needed multivalence? Which values are most needed to diffuse volatility, disentangle uncertainty, respect and influence complexity and bring operational clarity to ambiguity?*

- The global economy and the global sensibilities it has spawned are still largely trapped in destructive growth paradigms and mis-measures. Even many of the correctives, including the U.N.’s SDGs, are hostage to the prevailing assumptions that correlate human development to be synonymous with economic growth. Authentic sustainability requires these distortions and imbalances to be clearly acknowledged; for the limits of supply to be fully respected in natural and human terms of living-interdependence, rather than being only valued by demand.
- What is needed within the centrifugal and disintegrating pressures of VUCA are centering and integrating principles from our shared humanity. Whereas happiness is currently construed superficially as having the resources to consume, deeper meaning (which humans crave, whether religious or not) grows from the inner harmony of living within the connections and constraints derived from one’s values.
- Economics that merely stokes demand stokes restlessness, which, in turn, increasingly slips from the ceaseless desire for gratification into outright addiction. Destructive personal dependencies on drugs, social media, food and tobacco and destructive social dependencies on fossil fuels, forests and fisheries point to a system of thought and economics that has normalized imbalance. *To change our global outcomes, we must change our global thinking. To change our economic priorities, we need to change (or recover) our values.*
- The Buddhist principle of “sufficiency” invokes a radical rebalancing, both to acknowledge the impracticality and injustice of extremes and to situate the human person as embedded in a web of interdependencies. It is a transformation of perspective, as well as of heart, not merely settling for “enough,” but recognizing

- All human beings hold and live by values. Moral formation is needed to help discern that not all values are equal and that those with moral or ethical resonance have priority. This moral dimension of integrity is especially important in leadership.

that demand, liberated from personal desire, creates possibilities for shared well-being.

- Echoing the neglected wisdom that characterized earlier development in the west – *sufficiency, values, frugality and prudence* – eschewing disposability to both create and use materials of intrinsic and enduring value. The sensibilities of sufficiency are not for calculating how much to own to be self-sufficient. Rather, these values are for relating and interacting with others with the self-management (or self-restraint) that creates capacities for one another’s happiness and freedom.
- Catholic social teaching has elaborated a similar principle of human dignity. Deriving this principle from the Hebrew Scriptures and sharing it with Islam, dignity situates the human person as stewards of God’s creation, privileged by capacities for transcendence and relationship, yet embedded in nature and society and responsible for their flourishing. This dignity bestows inalienable rights, including the freedom to choose between right and wrong. Imbalances, injustice and evil occur when those rights are exercised categorically without any regard for the corresponding responsibilities.
 - o Valuing dignity is a prerequisite for valuing diversity. In practice, many of the imbalances that have mutated into VUCA have been caused by the unilateral assumptions of Anglo-American economic theories and business practices. Again, challenging and changing mindsets is important, especially now because many of the structural remedies being offered flow from that very imagery.
 - o As but one example, the rules or goals for carbon emissions are being set (and in some cases, imposed) by the most developed countries without regard for the needs and priorities of countries still in the struggle of development. In this case, the dignity of diversity is not simply unseen. It also invokes the guise of ecological responsibility to perpetuate historic injustices and unfair advantage.
- The sufficiency principle has other important lessons for the global situation because it has evolved as a middle-step in a process that recognizes the diverse development needs of countries and communities. Preceding sufficiency is that stage of necessity for sustenance and survival. These basic requirements for human life and dignity must be met as the foremost priority. Sufficiency is a moderate and ethical response to further development and plenitude. Growing the moderation and relational skills for sufficiency leads to the moral authority to advance the third stage, which is sustainability.
 - Connecting Buddhist sufficiency with Catholic social teaching:
$$\begin{array}{c} < & & > \\ \text{(sustenance with dignity)} & & \\ + & & \\ \text{(sufficiency with solidarity)} & & \\ + & & \\ \text{(sustainability with common good)} & & \end{array}$$
- Understanding this process has applications beyond those for fairly managing ecological limits. This sufficiency model and progression can be applied to global initiatives, such as regulations for managing forests, oceans and climate change remedies. It also provides a diagnostic tool for social disruptions, such as those widely expected from Artificial Intelligence (AI).
 - o While experts predict that all human beings will be affected, some lives (and livelihoods) will be devastated, others will be scrambled and plagued by more uncertainty, while a few prosper for controlling the applications of AI. A sufficiency mindset requires that criteria for new initiatives or technology account first for those most adversely impacted, so that priorities and values are set bottom-up, from human factors, rather than top-down, for operational gains.

- Taking stock of our humanity means also creating the personal and social capacities for dealing with foibles and failures. The common good, to which sufficiency contributes, requires processes for acknowledging wrongdoing and exercising forgiveness. This is especially important at this time of diversity. Cultures and traditions deserve their autonomous integrity and must be respected. But as culture is a human construct and as religion involves human beings interpreting divine rules or teachings, mistakes and misjudgments inevitably occur. The concept of “purifying the heart,” evident in many traditions, aims to acknowledge wrongdoing as an intrinsic part of liberating the way to doing what is right. In systems paralyzed by crisis or situations locked in polarizing animosity, forward movement is only safe in conditions of tolerance created by repentance, forgiveness and gratitude.
- Those accountable have often missed this essential aspect of the common good: not owning up to mistakes, thereby destroying the very trust – the social capital – without which the credibility of leaders, the effectiveness of organizations and the shared common good fracture. Rather than persist with models of accountability that are for “blame-throwing,” institutions must recover the moral terms of responsibility upon which human and social maturing ultimately depends.
 - o Responsibility is not an instrumental transaction, but instead involves an ethical interaction. While obviously an imperative for all human beings, participants recognized that different groups warrant specific criteria and formation. At the very least, there is a need for:
 - *A pedagogy of responsibility for leaders* to grow the moral bandwidth that corresponds to their duties and that is essential for rebuilding trust in institutions and organizations, as well as global social capital. Beyond highlighting principles for systems-thinking, such leadership learning would focus on the formation of virtues, including:
 - o Humility to engage complexity collaboratively and admit mistakes;
 - o Honesty to connect symptoms to real causes;
 - o Respect for human dignity and dreams;
 - o Hopefulness, drawing on the inspiration of innovators;
 - o Willingness to share in the trials or sacrifices needed for transformation;
 - o And modelling the solidarity across national differences or spheres of expertise to seed collaboration.
 - *A pedagogy of responsibility for citizens and consumers*, harnessing the social wisdom embedded in each tradition and culture. These resources of moral knowledge are crucial for fostering the restraint and generosity needed to grow solidarity as a global commitment. Co-responsibility for the global common good requires accommodating the different needs of people at different stages of economic development and together living in what we might call ‘ecological subsidiarity,’ within the fragile equilibrium of nature’s life-sustaining systems. One suggestion is to introduce global consciousness and wisdom from diverse sources into the curriculum of teachers and religious leaders.
 - *A pedagogy of responsibility for students* to form the balance between duties and rights, without which all freedoms falter. Informative new structures are available that respect cultural differences, while surfacing principles for common flourishing. One example raised are the Eight Pillars of Peace from the Institute for Economics and Peace, which closely correspond to the Six

Harmonies in Buddhism. The key in developing ethical imagination is to recognize that moral values and social responsibilities are not simply to avoid wrongdoing. Ethical curiosity and care are wellsprings for constructive innovation, creating ever stronger resilience through practical solutions that are rooted in human values.

3. What is the moral of the VUCA story?

- *At the same time that postmodernism has shattered categorical definitions of truth, post-colonialism has revalidated the cultural differences that imperial and economic powers had sought to override or control. Globalization had presumed one model fits all, mostly in the style of the west. The destabilization from VUCA and the moral erosion within many democracies have undermined the credibility of the west. Re-balancing does not mean rejecting western liberalism, but rather refashioning it to include inputs and needs from other cultures. Can we now use our diversity creatively to form new bases for global cooperation that are truly global and truly cooperative? Are there commonalities to be mined beneath our differences to forge mutual commitments to the shared human good?*
- Despite our vast connections afforded by technology and travel, conflicts between cultures, nations and religions remain all too common. In the last year alone, the world has experienced: violence against Muslims and Jews in Europe and North America; China's persecution of Tibetans and Uyghurs; the persecution of Christians and Muslims in Sri Lanka; Islamic terrorism in the Philippines and across north and west Africa; strife and violence between the Israelis and the Palestinians; Myanmar's ethnic cleansing of Rohingya Muslims; and the murder and rape of Christians in India and Pakistan. This is only a partial list. Coups, civil wars and Russia's war against Ukraine, often invoking a religious rationale, add another scale to the wounds that mark this time.
 - One implication is that no culture or religion can claim to be exempt from tendencies of exclusion or extremism.
 - Another is that extremism thrives in times of VUCA – with some using the fears from volatility and uncertainty and the hunger for clarity before complexity and ambiguity – to accrue power through violence and influence through hate.
 - A third consequence is that, for many, the very religious traditions that claim to have humanizing wisdom become suspect for dehumanizing others, exacerbating divisions and fomenting violence.
- For as long as there have been people, human beings have formed ideas and rites to fulfill a longing for transcendence, peace, illumination and meaning. Even today, many who reject religion retain desires and capacities for spiritual reflection or understanding. This interior dimension for contemplation, shared by all human beings, represents an indispensable resource for diffusing fears and growing compassion. Religion is indeed a source for identity and therefore, for separation, which all too often is used to justify vilification and hatred of outsiders. However, religious sensibilities remain globally compelling because these remain a rich resource for situating human longing and potentiality. At their best and when true to their sources, religions provide a wider nexus of meaning for human beings within creation, history and community, summoning collaborations of harmony and joy.
 - As one example, in the era when Shinto and Buddhist practitioners were in most conflict in Japan, decisions were made to build shrines together. These holy

structures became a symbol for something more than tolerance. Shinto priests and Buddhist monks presided together on special occasions, signifying a respect for differences that do not cancel humanity's underlying need to develop mind, hearts and spirits. Each religious follower becomes enriched in their own tradition by sharing and learning from the other.

- Another example is from the story of the Good Samaritan, which Pope Francis used in his teaching on human fraternity. In that parable, Jesus asks those listening to him to consider the fundamental goodness of people of different faiths. What constitutes humanity is shared – the capacity to care, to be moved by the suffering or plight of another and to take practical action to alleviate the other's pain and cause healing to occur.
 - Religious doctrines include such precepts, but the test of one's humanity or faithfulness is not dogmatic coherence, but rather, compassion lived in the moment. In the language of sufficiency, this is to focus on what matters in depth: to interact with others in balance so as to be at rest in one's own heart.
 - VUCA's disorientation is intensified by two inexorable and unprecedented pressures. From above are the impositions of globalization and from below are dislocations of ecological destruction. Although globalization structured by economics since the 1990s is fraying, with powers and policies increasingly taking different forms within competitive regional blocks, the basic financial wiring, energy flow and technological development remain inextricably global.
 - No country, no company and no individual can be completely free of this entanglement from systems and structures created by human beings. At the same time – as evidenced by this year's record floods, fires and temperatures – the long-forecast damage from ecological neglect and destruction has pressed itself on almost every country and every community on planet Earth. No country, no company, no person is exempt from the physical and material impacts of this ecological duress.
 - In this unprecedented time, the greatest challenge is changing minds and hearts, growing the consciousness and conscience, from being united, despite our differences, in overarching and underlying webs of interdependence.
 - One task, as suggested earlier, is to retrieve the wisdom of humanity's greatest thinkers and moral leaders in new ways, not only citing lost or forgotten texts, but studying them together, using cross-cultural reference points to surface the new insights needed for our new times.
 - Inter-religious dialogue has an important role to play in this reformation of shared insights, as does secular disciplines, such as philosophy, law and various academic spheres.
 - As has occurred in ethics, there is also a need to be aggressively inclusive of perspectives that our respective canons have marginalized or neglected, including that of women (the feminist-feminine dimension), of first nations and aboriginal peoples, of those impoverished or excluded by any prejudice, such as refugees
- For the first time in history, what occurs in the overarching structures of globalization (as with the financial crisis) extracts a cost from everyone simultaneously.
 - For the first time in history, every person on Earth is simultaneously physically vulnerable to ecological catastrophes, including disruptions from food, air and water shortages or from the spread of viruses.
 - While humanity is ever more united in frailty, it is still struggling to form equivalent ethics of interdependence.
 - The key is that the values and related responsibilities for this time need to be co-developed – not as another set of top-down principles discerned by elites, but as a horizontal commitment co-forged by the people (and peoples) who are most at risk or closest to what grounds the common good.

or people of a lower caste.

- Another task is to reconsider and reform human values to cohere with the values readily evident in nature. This may, as Carl Sagan once suggested, require according nature the kind of rights that have been universally accepted for human beings. Audacious organizations, such as Hub Culture, are assigning board seats to ecosystems to ensure that consciousness, strategies and accountabilities reflect the insights and necessities of natural habitats.
 - Nature studies have much to teach human beings in regard to balance, especially showing that even eco-systems that suffer calamity or collapse or that come to be dominated by one species, eventually revert to equilibrium. At depth, nature conveys lessons for resilience and collaboration. It also provides clarity, sometimes shocking us into awe with its beauty or reminding us to be humble in the context of its life-giving majesty. Beyond learning the scientific workings of nature, the challenge now is for human beings to grow their ethicality by absorbing the moral lessons of forests, oceans, prairies and other life-sustaining systems.
 - Shinto holds that the beauty of nature signifies its holiness. The torii (the convex arch suspended between two poles seen throughout Japan) provides a portal – like a frame for a living landscape – that summons the person to pause and view nature in prayerful stillness as preparation for entering a shrine.
 - Scholars of Hinduism, Buddhism, Judaism, Christianity and Islam are among those that have devoted more than three decades to forming eco-theologies, correcting past presumptions of dominion and advancing sensibilities of sacred stewardship. The science for ecological responsibility and the religious framing for humanity’s shared interdependence within creation have also been fused in Pope Francis’ encyclical, *Laudato Si.*
 - Although VUCA is now part of the vernacular of business and political elites, it first emerged in military spheres as a way to give context to security threats. For all its analytical relevance, VUCA itself portends a kind of pre-war tension – intractable threats that leave little room for resolution without conflict. To adapt the methodology for which Mountain House is known, the skills for “moral rearmament” must include disarming the amoral and immoral attitudes that have crept into our politics and economics.
- The crisis in civility is both local and global, with aggressive disdain towards others contaminating national politics and international relations.
 - Lessons from the Great Depression teach that the fear which breeds incivility and division is best defeated by calling on shared ideals to elicit generosity and hopefulness.

4. What is needed for leaders to lead?

- *It is true that leaders have failed this moment, that elites in various disciplines have used their power or expertise more for personal advantage than the common good. Corporate leaders have disproportionately benefited, even when their misjudgments caused irreparable harm to society (think financial crisis of 2007-08.) Today’s epidemic of mistrust is largely attributable to leaders who have used their position to evade accountability or to insulate themselves and their firms from the public sacrifices of austerity and “creative destruction.” Nonetheless, institutions and corporations are vital linchpins for global change and health. How can trust be restored? How can leaders re-generate and re-earn the moral authority needed to provide inspiration, guidance, motivation and direction to navigate the VUCA minefield?*
- As when business leaders first met at Mountain House to resolve divisive trade and cultural tensions in the 1980s, many who today have responsibility for corporate

performance are aware that profits cannot be fully segregated from planet and people. VUCA factors, recently renamed “polycrisis” and “permacrisis,” are as destabilizing to business as they are to workers, citizens and the ecology. Continuing education programs in some business schools have devised new ways to guide leaders to new learning and expose them to stories and situations far removed from the centers of power.

- As noted, one cohort of CEOs included visiting long-term inmates in a federal prison, where they encountered persons who had worked hard to overcome the mistakes that led to their incarceration. Getting beyond reports and statistics, such human-level encounters help change minds and hearts, discovering lessons for resilience and transformation in those very conditions that are usually the breeding grounds for desolation and despair.
- Other initiatives for a more socially generative and ecologically sustainable economy are being seeded by philanthropists and foundations. Cutting-edge organizations, such as the Institute of Economics and Peace, use data-driven research studies to spark a paradigm shift, informing governments, corporations and NGOs of the tangible benefits to society and business from peace.
- New indices, such as the U.N.’s Human Development Index and the Social Progress Index (developed by Michael Porter and adapted by the Sasin School of Management), are creating the quantitative and qualitative data points that fill in the human, social, cultural and ecological measures that crude GDP metrics do not yet acknowledge or include. With *Mensuram Bonam*, the Vatican’s Pontifical Academy of Social Sciences has published principles from Catholic social teaching to guide investors of faith and those substantively contributing to ESG. Leaders across the business and political spectrum recognize that the theories and assumptions that have held sway over globalization since the 1970s are no longer adequate for our 21st century complexity. Numerous and ingenious new resources are being generated around the world to correct obsolete patterns of thinking and give substance to new approaches.
- While forward-thinking leaders are indeed trying to respond to the exigencies in which business is embedded, the operating reality keeps shifting. Responding to VUCA, some businesses and politicians are doubling down on the inherited theories and presumptions that, in many ways, created global conditions of disintegration and dislocation. Important movements, such as towards ESG and Net Zero, remain deeply contested. In some cases, special interests and political ideologies have frozen or forbidden such commitments – acts of repression undertaken in the name of free markets. Gains that are made are forever challenged and sometimes – as with Shell revoking its commitment to Net Zero – reversed.
- Several factors are undermining or impeding wider adoption of such responsibility initiatives:
 - On a practical level, measures for ESG remain very much a work in progress. Standards are loose. Claims remain very difficult to quantify or validate. Just as the general rules for accounting took decades (or longer) to develop and standardize, forming credible and universally accepted ecological, social or governance standards will take time.
 - This is arguably the most important initiative for untangling the extreme imbalances which are so detrimental to human beings, society and the planetary environment. However, rather than adopt a multi-industry “moon-shot” type

program to co-create standards, companies spend more on lobbyists and marketing than on structural measures required for deep change.

- CEOs who have taken responsibility stands are few and far between. Several of the most prominent, such as Larry Fink and Paul Polman, have had to backtrack or retire after pledging commitments beyond the bottom line. Standing up to market ideologies is extremely difficult. Most leaders keep their heads down to avoid the vitriol that gets directed with such vehemence against anyone who challenges the economic status quo. Even Pope Francis has been disparaged and harshly dismissed for simply highlighting the injustices that the current techno-economic system has created and is unable, without moral reflection, to rectify or resolve.
 - To not recover care for the common good is not a neutral option, but represents a continuing slide into what some call “corporate feudalism” and others “oligarchical fascism.” Harsh though these terms may be, they point to a current reality, where growing inequality is but a sign of widespread displacement and disenfranchisement.
 - Work itself has been degraded, as more and more people (even with college degrees) need to work multiple jobs in the “gig economy” to afford basic food and shelter.
 - Treating work merely as cost has paradoxically rendered it worth *less*. Many of the envisioned applications of AI target this labor cost reduction, potentially displacing hundreds of millions of jobs, this time in previously secure sectors, such as white collar and knowledge work.
 - When work becomes so tenuous, security is compromised. Dreams for a better life dissipate. Much of the populist anger in the world flows from this angst and from being frozen out of the lifestyles that social media exposes and influences.
 - At its core, work is a means of livelihood, but also much more. In work, a person develops identity and relationships, forging a sense of craft or capability, as well as belonging to a community.
 - In Shintoism, work is the locus for exerting those efforts and disciplines that yield true and genuine happiness. Work has dignity, which dignifies the worker.
 - Catholic social teaching echoes this premise regarding work as the venue for developing one’s gifts, for sharing one’s talents with others and for striving together in caring for creation and creating the common good.
 - Leaders have a disproportionate role in setting the global culture, but they are also, in a sense, prisoners within it, formed by its values and having succeeded by exemplifying what the culture expects. As emerged throughout the dialogue, the key defect is that economic performance has been divorced from moral impacts. Many people are disillusioned by this culture. Few, if any, people are free from suffering one of the many subsequent imbalances.
 - Rather than challenge the prevailing ethos, many citizens and consumers, like many leaders, seek personal solace for the disharmony in their lives. In other words, they step further into the values of disequilibrium by seeking to master mindfulness, meditation, yoga, pilgrimage or other forms of spiritual retreat as a personal benefit: another type of “bucket list” experience to add, to own or to consume.
- Feudalism was marked by impenetrable social division between classes. By outsourcing work to contract or gig workers, companies have freed themselves from many of related obligations, including for health, safety, pensions and protection against labor abuses. This situation is akin to the Highland Clearances, when Scottish nobles forced the evictions of long-tenured tenants.

- While developing inner capacities for reflection are important, interior harmony cannot be fulfilled if segregated from the external reality of community and natural ecology. Physics dictates that the human population cannot consume its way to sustainability. New technologies may help mitigate some of the now toxic imbalances in which humanity is enmeshed. But the urgency with which natural thresholds for regeneration are being transgressed demands a hyper-moral leadership. Stewardship is often regarded as protecting or enhancing an existing asset for future benefit. In a VUCA reality, stewardship necessitates a messier and more intimate moral immersion by leaders into the prevailing culture. Leadership is proven when it untangles the sources that make fear so debilitating and replaces the toxic assumptions that are destroying social and ecological assets before they can be secured to be bequeathed forward.

5. Why are values indispensable for practical action?

- *In the face of such difficult and urgent problems, many seek immediate solutions. Business people who recognize, at least in part, the stakes, clamor for practical action. Understandable though be this desire to start implementing changes, much of what has defeated the renewal that has been obviously required for three decades has been from trying to change the practical actions without changing the prevailing assumptions, values and attitudes. What are these impediments to real and enduring change? How can the much needed sensibilities and values for change be fostered personally, in society, in the economy and in appreciation of our global and ecological interdependence?*

- Of all the obstacles that have made even reasonable restraint so arduous, two have emerged as particularly onerous. One is the undermining and relativizing of truth. The other, which is correlated, is the evading and emptying out of responsibility.
- Social media (by intentional strategy of its corporate purveyors) has accelerated and amplified the postmodern deconstruction of truth. Today, the distinction between opinion and truth has evaporated. Understanding forged over millennia of philosophic exploration and religious teaching and made more precise with data from science, is no longer authoritative. Trained as consumers, people have ceased growing the capacities for critical thinking. Conditioned for instant titillation and gratification, people seek only the affirmation of being right, excluding and demonizing points of view that question or challenge their own. Division and polarization grow in this vortex of suspicion; disinformation degenerating into isolating disillusionment.
 - Division and polarization grow in this vortex of suspicion; disinformation degenerating into isolating disillusionment.
- Truth has always been very difficult to grasp and is always contested. Yet, truth is also essential for grounding human beings within structures of meaning and social relations. Institutions for government, health, economics and peace have each grown out of needs or goals that communities or societies deem valid. These facts are to remind us that practical action needs such foundations or orientation to the truth, so as to share knowledge, understanding and purpose. Without the connective filaments of truth, balance can neither be sought, nor achieved. Without the questions truth demands, imbalance all too easily devolves into extremism.
- In every age, people seek truth as a noun, as a categorical certainty. However, truth itself has proven to be more of a verb – more of a process for translating the learning and lessons of life into concepts for guidance and meaning.

- At its core, truth is much more than an outcome of facts or intellect. Truth emerges ethically in relationship:
 - o Being honest, asking honest questions, seeking honesty in answers;
 - o Evaluating whether a data point or point of view is fair, using self-reflection to reflect on how others are impacted;
 - o Testing whether information contributes to freedom, justice and inclusion or the opposites;
 - o And taking action that, while reflecting one's personal integrity, also strives to earn the trust and respect of others – truth held personally from values, tested and validated publicly.

- In a linear world, truth was often decreed, with dictates flowing top-down from authorities to the masses. With our advances in technology and education, truth cannot be imposed, but instead, must grow out of careful enquiry and respectful dialogue.

- Can truth be sought in this postmodern, highly diverse and highly individualized global reality? Paradoxically, yes. Although categorical truths, as we've historically adopted them, are suspect and while scientific truths are forever mutating to accommodate new understanding, new truths are pressing themselves onto human experience, requiring our attentiveness and understanding and demanding our response. These truths are from our human reality, in the experience and threats from climate change, economic interdependencies, violence in all its forms, including nuclear war and technological advancements that may soon overreach human capacities to control them. These now globally shared vulnerabilities reveal basic truths for guiding our structures and humanizing our economy and institutions.

- In turn, truth sets the norms and expectations for responsibility. Part of what had bled trust from leaders and institutions is that responsibility became as relativized as truth. Responsibility became optional at the same time and to the same degree, with which ethics were marginalized and relativized. As with truth, responsibility is a process rather than singular achievement, informed by data, yet formed by ethics.
 - o With our utilitarian mindset, economics and politics have degraded responsibility by making it contingent on facts. This thin version of responsibility not only watered down the principles of integrity, but also situated responsibility as a reaction to a problem, failing or crisis. Without its full ethical grounding, responsibility ceased being proactive and ceased being a demonstration of values. As responsibility became more and more self-serving rather than relational, it also became more suspect.
 - Relativizing truth and making morality merely a private option have made VUCA inevitable and intractable.
 - Denying truth denies human dignity and its transcendent dimension.
 - Denying truth destroys the basis for justice and solidarity.
 - Denying truth undermines the basis for social participation, while reinforcing suspicion and division.
 - Denying truth means that the terms of responsibility can be evaded or avoided.
 - Denying truth creates truths that cannot be denied, including despair, anger, polarization, the loss of common sense and the loss of the common good.

- For practical action to be effective and transformative, we must first recover the truths from humanity's creaturely vulnerabilities and as beings dependent on community, global society and the natural environment. The precepts and validations of responsibility can then become the checks and balances for addressing these shared vulnerabilities and indisputable interdependencies.

- Research on building community and growing responsibility confirms the experience of the founders of the Caux Round Table. Groups that honestly tackle the most difficult problems facing them tend to generate both the most effective practical solutions and the strongest

bonds of collegiality and trust. As important as the results are, more so is the process by which adversaries become friends and new options are developed within the tensions caused by different views. The etymological meaning of respect (from the Latin) means “to see twice.” This double seeing applies to responsibility. As well as seeing the problem, participants must see the perspective of others. As well as seeing the facts or data, those engaged in the solution must see the ethical demand or implication that understanding together will posit.

- Responsibility is a response from an ability or, in other words, the ability to respond. As we experienced at Caux, our various religions and cultural traditions have much to teach us about the abilities to respond.
- Common inflection points include:
 - > Detaching from self or looking beyond narrow self-interest or explicitly selfish-interest to recognize the dignity of others;
 - > Exercising the disciplines of the heart or emotional intelligence to grow honest understanding of interconnection and the empathy for compassion;
 - > Using care and respect for others – standing in their shoes – as the criteria for action;
 - > Taking the time to give priority to what is needed by others or the community to conjure and construct together that inclusive civic space in which civility flourishes;
 - > Reflecting on past lessons to retrieve the wisdom needed to change the present to prepare for a more hopeful and human future.
- Importantly, we need the best of our religious knowledge. The global and ecological reality requires that religious peoples collaborate to co-create a more expansive and inclusive ethics. Similarly, it is a time to retrieve together the insights of humanity’s greatest thought leaders, seeking commonalities and inspiration from differences, to grow the ability of leaders and citizens to respond to the urgencies now before us.

6. How do we move forward to stimulate hope, as well as solutions?

- *So, what are the conclusions from our dialogue discussion? What do we do? Where do we start?*
- 1. **Issue an invitation for corrective and catalytic responsibility.** Continuing the legacy of previous business gatherings of the Caux Round Table, one priority is to issue a statement to admit the arduous tasks of this time, invoke the gifts of humanity’s diverse wisdom and invite people of goodwill to consider and assume the responsibilities which correspond to their rights and roles. Drawing on humanity’s common values, as well as a shared regard for the future, this statement would serve as a responsibility rallying point for the many other initiatives underway across institutions, regions, industry associations, non-governmental and multilateral organizations. One aim is to have this statement for submission to the U.N. as part of its Summit for the Future project.
- 2. **Invite contributions from all parties for a global covenant for civility.** Given that imbalances are endemic everywhere, no one country or culture, no one religion or values system, has the exclusive insights to prepare humanity for the future. Since the 1990s, globalization has been assumed to be monolithic, with one set of assumptions (economic) governing development. With the political and inequality

fractures undermining the inherited model of globalization, the task now is for a cooperative global perspective to replace the singular western model. Respectful inclusion is crucial for the world's wisdom values to work in synergy. Wisdom and religious knowledge are indispensable to this project, provided it affects the humanization of others and not their diminishment. Indeed, today's test of trustworthiness for any ideal or religious tradition involves the direct and practical contribution to inclusiveness – to recognize the goodness of the other, thereby binding people together across their differences and motivating them to work together for the shared, urgently needed human good.

- Balancing diversity with unity creates the synergy commensurate with the problems currently paralyzing and threatening the planet.

3. **Form responsibility partnerships with like-minded organizations to grow synergy and scale.** Many people are concerned with today's real problems and disillusionment. However, for lacking resources or operating with autonomous missions, these individual projects often miss interconnecting and growing scale. In many ways, the imbalance caused by individualism is apparent in the structures and initiatives aiming to undo the disequilibrium. Moving masses of people to cooperate across their divisions depends on generating synergy from the cooperation of smart, but currently separate responsibility projects.

The Caux Round Table cannot, on its own, produce change. However, with its principles and networks, it can be a catalyst for more robust collaboration. Two resources for this catalytic role are missing. One is the outreach to and involvement of younger managers and activists. The other is missing a presence on social media. As well as recruit collaborators, the Caux Round Table needs to recruit and train the next generation of activists.

4. **Bring the wisdom of the middle and the wisdom from below into the dialogue with overarching global institutions.** Many of the corrective programs already developed from around the world address governments or focus on large, multinational corporations. At this time, when leaders' credibility is so low, an untapped source of practical insight and operational credibility are SMEs. These companies have long experience of living and thriving in communities, working with all stakeholders to address issues together and grow capacities for innovation and resilience. As top-down solutions are suspect or contaminated by special interests, SMEs provide a credible and collaborative resource for the ever more needed and more credible horizontal learning and sharing. One of the imbalances creating VUCA is that the big get bigger and ever more powerful, escaping the gravity of national or regional laws and responsibilities. SMEs are deeply embedded in their communities, with relational expertise for win-win that flows from being rooted in the social and natural ecologies.
5. **Give priority to human factors.** VUCA describes a problematic reality that confounds today's leaders and causes widespread anger and desolation across the globe. The volatility is real. Who could have predicted Covid-19 or Russia's invasion of Ukraine or the fire catastrophe in Hawaii? The uncertainty is agonizing and dehumanizing. Where will millions of qualified university graduates in China and elsewhere find jobs worthy of their talents and aspirations? Which jobs will be secure with the advent of AI? Are the working routes out of poverty still viable? Still available? The complexity is daunting. How can economic theories, business strategies and organizational structures designed in the linear reality of the Industrial Revolution adapt to the convoluted reality of multiple systems in overlap and crisis?

Experts see their slice of the issues. Who sees the whole? How can we discern root causes in the thick brambles of symptoms and problems? Ambiguity is indeed dense and fraught, more so than in previous times, exactly because the volatility, uncertainty and complexity are so extreme. Wisdom has always been the antidote to ambiguity. How can we develop capacities for that wisdom that resonates across our diverse global society?

- Acronyms like VUCA are helpful as heuristics, but they also impose a perspective or narrative that, while revealing one set of insights, inevitably omit others, such as the reality of *limits*. VUCA is reality as seen by leaders, experts, strategists, military planners and consultants. How is this reality seen from below, from citizens, workers, the unemployed, mothers, fathers and young adults entering the economy? In other words, what is the human experience of VUCA in the milieu of today’s culture and economy?
- One option, detailed below, is to reimagine VUCA not as a technical diagnostic, but from the perspective of its human impacts and implications:
 - Volatility experienced as human *vulnerability* in body, mind, soul, belonging and surviving;
 - Uncertainty necessitating a wholesale *unlearning* of ideologies or assumptions;
 - Complexity as impenetrable alone, requiring the ethical inclusiveness from *compassion*;
 - Ambiguity that foils expertise, yet needs those in power to be *answerable* in real time.

	VOLATILITY	UNCERTAINTY	COMPLEXITY	AMBIGUITY
TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Systems are in crisis, with the imbalances interacting across domains resulting in instability and unpredictability in politics, national security, personal health, economics, jobs, and other spheres. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More and more knowledge, now contaminated by intentional misinformation, has robbed even empirical facts of their ethical value. Trajectories of progress have proved debilitating; religious ideals have yet to disarm antipathies and violence, thereby dissolving structures of meaning and hope. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The confusion created by structural imbalances, and by the inability of institutions to correct them, has comprised and compressed the middle ground. Extremist views with exclusive ideologies flame fears, but are unable to address the key challenge of complexity, which is forming and advancing the wider (now global) common good. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With the excuse of things being paralyzed and unfair, nations, corporations and individuals have responded to the ambiguity at hand by doing more of the same: more competitiveness; more consumption; more technological disruption. More than excuse the status quo, responsibility is eschewed, allowing inequality, exclusion, injustice and ecological damage to grow like compound interest.
HUMAN IMPACT & IMPLICATIONS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vulnerability on multiple fronts: health, housing, food, subsistence, livelihood, liberty, belonging, opportunities to realize a better future. The hazards are global, and in some cases existential, highlighting our creaturely and social fragilities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unlearning the linear to absorb the systemic; unlearn greed, convenience and selfish-interest, to achieve personal balance and open spaces for cooperation; unlearn top-down, short-term and dehumanizing efficiencies to develop horizontal inclusiveness and sustainability. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compassion is the antidote to fear and confusion. While a way forward may still be obscure, capacities for empathy and collaboration are crucial for the shared ideals to see across the breadth of systems and options, and to co-generate inclusive innovations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Answerability invokes a process by which one’s integrity is held to account, not only fulfilling one’s personal values, but answering for how actions, attitudes, and decisions are justified to those most adversely impacted. Whereas accountability involves after-the-fact calculations (counting) answerability is done in real-time as a feature of ethical relationship.
RESPONSIBILITY IMPLICATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Take responsibility for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - shared human fragilities; - proactive and prudential action against shared risks; - mindfulness to undo imbalances and develop contextual acuity; - respect for the dignity of others and the holiness of creation - extending a preferential option in mitigation for those most disadvantaged by injustices. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Take responsibility for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - new curricula for ‘covenant thinking’ (belonging to, and responsible for history & justice); - new standards and incentives for leaders, focused on moral rather than short-term outcomes; - renewing the public and ethical standards of professions and civil servants; - advancing ESG performance as a moral priority so that metrics reflect truly sustainable results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Take responsibility for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - developing the whole human person, balancing mind, heart and soul; - integrating Adam Smith’s moral and economic works; - integrating classical thinkers from all cultures; - integrating the responsibility principles from the world’s religions’ global ethics; - integrating stakeholders with owners and executives. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Take responsibility for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - co-creating new paradigms for human development within systems’ limits; - discovering the joy from fulfilling one’s values commitments, and from belonging to a community; - honing the skills and insights from conscience to guide actions when the way forward is unclear; - working together to surface humanity’s wisdom, to co-develop global capacities for balance.

John Dalla Costa is a fellow of the Caux Round Table and served as the rapporteur of its 2023 Global Dialogue.